

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: *Social Media Adolescent
Addiction/Personal Injury Products Liability
Litigation*

Case No.: 4:22-md-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

This document relates to:
All Actions

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER REGARDING PROTECTED
COUNSEL COMMUNICATIONS AND
GOVERNING PRIVILEGE LOG
PROTOCOL**

This Stipulation and Order Regarding Protected Counsel Communications and Governing Privilege Log Protocol (“Order”) shall apply to certain protected communications between counsel or govern the procedures for withholding documents from discovery based on a recognized claim of privilege or protection of responsive documents that would otherwise be subject to discovery. This Order applies equally to all parties, who for the purposes of below shall be designated in their various roles as either the “Producing Party” or “Receiving Party” (defined below). All deadlines and timeframes in this order that reference “days” are referring to calendar days and not business days.

I. DEFINITIONS

All capitalized terms are defined as in the Protective Order (ECF No. 665) entered in the Action unless otherwise defined below.

1 A. JCCP 5225: JCCP 5225 shall refer to the actions currently included in JCCP
2 5225, any other action hereafter added or transferred to JCCP 5225, and all actions later
3 remanded to their respective transferor courts from JCCP 5225.

4 B. Discovery Material: all items, documents, or other information, regardless of the
5 medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained, that is subject to discovery.

6 C. Government Counsel: attorneys, as well as their support staff, supervisors, law
7 clerks, investigators, and any other employees of a government law office or consumer
8 protection agency that represents a Party to the Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation.

9 D. Non-Filing Government Counsel: attorneys, as well as their support staff,
10 supervisors, law clerks, investigators, and any other employees of a government law office or
11 consumer protection agency, that were part of the multistate coalition investigating the claims
12 and allegations related to this Action and Related Litigation, and that have not brought suit in
13 this Action or a Related Litigation.

14 E. Outside Legal Counsel: attorneys, as well as their support staff to whom it is
15 reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this Action or JCCP 5225 (including but not
16 limited to attorneys, paralegals, secretaries, law clerks, and investigators), who are not
17 employees of a Party to this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation but are retained to
18 represent a Party to this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation or to advise a Party with
19 respect to this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation.

20 F. Privileged Material: Discovery Material to the extent it is protected from
21 discovery based on a claim of attorney-client privilege, work product protection, or any other
22 applicable privilege or claim of protection.

23 G. Receiving Party: a Party that receives Discovery Material or a description of
24 Discovery Material which is designated as whole or in part as Privileged Material.

25 H. Related Litigation: litigations listed by caption in Appendix A, or as
26 supplemented.

27 **II. PROTECTED COUNSEL COMMUNICATIONS**

28 Cooperation by and among Plaintiffs' Outside Legal Counsel, including Government

Counsel, and by and among Defendants' Outside Legal Counsel, is essential for the orderly and expeditious resolution of this Action and JCCP 5225. The communication of information (A) among and between Plaintiffs' Outside Legal Counsel, including Government Counsel, and (B) among and between Defendants' Outside Legal Counsel, shall not be deemed a waiver of the attorney-client privilege or the protections afforded for attorney work product. Nothing contained in this provision shall be construed to limit the rights of any party or counsel to assert the attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product doctrine.

III. PRIVILEGE LOG PROTOCOL

To the extent that Discovery Material is withheld from production on the basis of a good faith claim that it contains Privileged Material, the Producing Party shall produce a privilege log as set forth below within 45 days of the date by which the documents would otherwise have been produced if not for the claim of privilege or protection, unless another time is agreed to by the parties. Privilege logs shall be produced on a rolling basis.

A. Exclusions from Logging Documents Reflecting Privileged Communications or Work Product: To the extent that they contain Privileged Material, the following materials presumptively need not be logged on a privilege log:

1. Communications with Counsel, including Government Counsel, expressly regarding this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation after date of initial complaint filing;¹
2. Communications exclusively between and among any Plaintiffs' Outside Legal Counsel expressly regarding this Action or JCCP 5225, including pre-filing investigations regarding the allegations and claims asserted therein;
3. Communications between and among Government Counsel and Non-Filing Government Counsel, including their Outside Legal Counsel, during the period encompassing the pre-suit investigation that culminated in this matter and Related Litigation regarding their investigations of the allegations and

¹ "[E]xpressly regarding this Action, JCCP 5255, or a Related Litigation" means a communication about the litigation activities themselves, not the subject matter of the lawsuits.

claims asserted in this Action. This exclusion from logging also applies to their pre-suit investigations of other Defendants related to allegations and claims asserted in this Action and Related Litigation, regardless of whether any Government Counsel names the Defendant in a complaint.

4. Work product regarding this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation, created by an attorney or at the direction of an attorney, who is part of a Party's Counsel, including Government Counsel;
5. Communications expressly regarding this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation exclusively between a Party and their Outside Legal Counsel or Government Counsel;
6. Communications expressly regarding this Action, JCCP 5225, or a Related Litigation exclusively between and among either a Party's Outside Legal Counsel or Government Counsel, including their Outside Legal Counsel, and their Experts or professional vendors, and attorney work product created by Experts or professional vendors, except (with respect to Communications between and among a Party's Outside Legal Counsel or Government Counsel, including their Outside Legal Counsel, and their testifying Experts) to the extent the Communications:
 - a. relate to compensation for the Expert's study or testimony;
 - b. identify facts or data that the party's attorney provided and that the expert considered in forming the opinions to be expressed;
 - c. identify assumptions that the party's attorney provided and that the expert relied on in forming opinions to be expressed.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if a Party asserts that communications regarding interactions or communications with any regulator or government agency, if any, are Privileged Material, other than communications included in Section III.A.3, and those subject to the common interest privilege such as those between Plaintiffs' counsel and State Attorneys General, such communications shall be logged, irrespective of the involvement of counsel, including Outside

1 Legal Counsel who were retained for this Action. Nothing herein prohibits a Party from
2 requesting a privilege log for materials presumptively excepted from logging upon a showing of
3 good cause.

4 B. Redacted Documents. Any Discovery Material that contains both Privileged
5 Material and non-privileged material must be disclosed with the purportedly privileged portion
6 redacted. The redacted portion shall be indicated on the Discovery Material itself with the
7 appropriate redaction type reflected in the metadata. The Parties will not be required to log
8 privilege redactions.

9 C. Format. Privilege logs shall be produced in Excel format that allows for text
10 searching, sorting, and organization of data, and shall be produced in a cumulative manner, so
11 that each subsequent privilege log includes all privilege claims from prior logs. No listings,
12 therefore, shall be deleted from the log. If a privilege claim is withdrawn in whole or in part, the
13 listing shall indicate that the claim was withdrawn in whole or in part. The correspondence
14 accompanying each privilege log shall indicate the document production volume(s) and Bates
15 range(s) to which the privilege log applies.

16 D. Identification of Counsel. The Producing Party shall identify on the privilege log
17 the attorney, legal staff or personnel with an “ATTY” or exclamation mark.

18 E. Metadata-Plus-Topic Log. For documents withheld on the basis of privilege or
19 work product, the Producing Party shall provide a metadata log containing the below metadata
20 fields (as defined in the ESI Order, ECF 690), a field or column indicating the privilege log
21 volume, a category/topic field for the Privileged Material (*see* Appendix B), and a column to
22 indicate if an entry was downgraded, modified, or withdrawn (*see* Section IV, *supra*). The
23 Producing Party shall review and analyze each document for privilege and will not rely solely on
24 the metadata to make the determination.

- 25 1. PRIV LOG ID
- 26 2. BEGBATES
- 27 3. ENDBATES
- 28

- 1 4. BEGATTACH
- 2 5. ENDATTACH
- 3 6. ALLCUSTODIANS
- 4 7. PRIV TYPE (e.g., Attorney-Client Privilege, Attorney Work Product,
- 5 Common Interest)
- 6 8. FILENAME
- 7 9. EMAILSUBJECT
- 8 10. AUTHOR
- 9 11. TO/FROM/CC/BCC
- 10 12. DATETIMESENT
- 11 13. DATETIMERECEIVED
- 12 14. DOCDATE
- 13 15. DOCUMENT TYPE
- 14 16. HASHVALUE
- 15 17. ALLFILEPATHS
- 16 18. DATELASTMODIFIED
- 17 19. THREADID

21 F. Narrative Log. Alternatively, a Producing Party may elect to produce a narrative
22 privilege log for documents withheld from production, which would contain a description field
23 describing each document and the basis for the privilege assertion, in addition to the metadata
24 fields listed in Section III.E above. Appendix B shall not apply to narrative logs.

25 G. Listserv or Group Email. To the extent that Listserv or group email addresses are
26 identified on the privilege log, the Producing Party shall work in good faith to identify individuals
27 and/or groups of individuals and/or groups of individuals who make up such Listserv or group
28 email upon request, which may follow the production of the log.

1 H. Families of Discovery Material. If an attachment (parent or child) to a Discovery
2 Material or communication is being withheld, such attachment(s) shall be identified in the
3 privilege log as separate entries. Families of Discovery Material shall be logged together in
4 sequence with the parent document followed by any other withheld documents in the Discovery
5 Material family to the extent multiple family members are privileged. A Producing Party shall not
6 assert that Discovery Material constitutes Privileged Material on the sole basis that it is part of a
7 family containing other Privileged Material or is attached to a communication with Outside Legal
8 Counsel or its In-House Legal Department.

9 I. Lesser Inclusive Emails. A Producing Party need not log lesser inclusive emails
10 within the same email chain so long as the most-inclusive email record is logged.

11 J. To the extent documents require a log format that differs from the requirements
12 outlined above, the parties will meet and confer in good faith, and endeavor to agree upon an
13 appropriate log format for such documents.

14 **IV. PRIVILEGE CHALLENGE PROTOCOLS**

15 Resolution of disputes regarding claims of privilege shall be in accordance with Section H
16 of the Court's Standing Order for Discovery in Civil Cases.

17 A. Challenges to Privilege Claims: Following the receipt of a privilege log or
18 documents that have been redacted for privilege, a Receiving Party may identify, in writing (by
19 PRIV LOG ID or Bates number), the particular documents that it believes require further
20 explanation. The Producing party shall use reasonable efforts to respond to requests within 10
21 days for challenges totaling 50 documents and under. If the challenge exceeds 50 documents, the
22 Parties will meet and confer regarding a response time frame. The Parties shall meet and confer to
23 try to reach a mutually agreeable solution.

24 B. Changes to Redactions or Privilege Designations: To the extent that any privilege
25 assertions are downgraded, modified, or withdrawn by the Producing Party as a result of meet and
26 confer in accordance with the process above, the Producing Party shall within 14 days, or within a
27 time frame as agreed to by the Receiving and Producing Parties, produce any such downgrades as
28 production overlays and indicate the same in the production cover letter to be served with a "key"

1 that indexes the privilege identification number with the assigned Bates Number for each
2 downgraded document. In the event any privilege assertion is downgraded, modified, or
3 withdrawn by the Producing Party with respect to documents to be used in an upcoming
4 deposition, the Parties shall meet and confer and the Producing Party shall use reasonable efforts
5 to produce the documents in advance of the deposition.

6 **V. MODIFICATION**

7 This Order may be modified at any time by the Court on its own motion, for good cause
8 shown on motion of a party, or by agreement of the parties with the Court's approval.
9 Compliance with this Protocol satisfies the Producing Party's obligations under Federal Rule of
10 Civil Procedure 26(b)(5) to expressly claim a privilege or protection and describe the nature of
11 Privileged Material for other parties to assess the claim.

12
13 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**, through Counsel of Record.

14 DATED: April 1, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

15 /s/ Lexi J. Hazam
16 LEXI J. HAZAM
17 **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &**
18 **BERNSTEIN, LLP**
275 BATTERY STREET, 29TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3339
Telephone: 415-956-1000
lhazam@lchb.com

20
21 PREVIN WARREN
22 **MOTLEY RICE LLC**
401 9th Street NW Suite 630
Washington DC 20004
T: 202-386-9610
pwarren@motleyrice.com

24 Co-Lead Counsel

25
26 CHRISTOPHER A. SEEGER
27 **SEEGER WEISS, LLP**
55 CHALLENGER ROAD, 6TH FLOOR
28 RIDGEFIELD PARK, NJ 07660
Telephone: 973-639-9100

Facsimile: 973-679-8656
cseeger@seegerweiss.com

Counsel to Co-Lead Counsel

JENNIE LEE ANDERSON
ANDRUS ANDERSON, LLP
155 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
Telephone: 415-986-1400
jennie@andrusanderson.com

Liaison Counsel

RON AUSTIN
RON AUSTIN LAW
400 Manhattan Blvd.
Harvey LA, 70058
Telephone: (504) 227-8100

raustin@ronaustinlaw.com
MATTHEW BERGMAN
GLENN DRAPER
SOCIAL MEDIA VICTIMS LAW CENTER
821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2100
SEATTLE, WA 98104
Telephone: 206-741-4862
matt@socialmediavictims.org
glenn@socialmediavictims.org

JAMES J. BILSBORROW
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, NY 10003
Telephone: 212-558-5500
Facsimile: 212-344-5461
jbilsborrow@weitzlux.com

PAIGE BOLDT
WATTS GUERRA LLP
4 Dominion Drive, Bldg. 3, Suite 100
San Antonio, TX 78257
T: 210-448-0500
PBoldt@WattsGuerra.com

THOMAS P. CARTMELL
WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL LLP
4740 Grand Avenue, Suite 300
Kansas City, MO 64112

1 T: 816-701 1100
2 tcartmell@wcllp.com

3 JAYNE CONROY
4 **SIMMONS HANLY CONROY, LLC**
5 112 MADISON AVE, 7TH FLOOR
6 NEW YORK, NY 10016
7 Telephone: 917-882-5522
8 jconroy@simmonsfirm.com

9 CARRIE GOLDBERG
10 **C.A. GOLDBERG, PLLC**
11 16 Court St.
12 Brooklyn, NY 11241
13 T: (646) 666-8908
14 carrie@cagoldberglaw.com

15 SIN-TING MARY LIU
16 **AYLSTOCK WITKIN KREIS &**
17 **OVERHOLTZ, PLLC**
18 17 EAST MAIN STREET, SUITE 200
19 PENSACOLA, FL 32502
20 Telephone: 510-698-9566
21 mliu@awkolaw.com

22 ANDRE MURA
23 **GIBBS LAW GROUP, LLP**
24 1111 BROADWAY, SUITE 2100
25 OAKLAND, CA 94607
26 Telephone: 510-350-9717
27 amm@classlawgroup.com

28 EMMIE PAULOS
29 **LEVIN PAPANTONIO RAFFERTY**
30 316 SOUTH BAYLEN STREET, SUITE 600
31 PENSACOLA, FL 32502
32 Telephone: 850-435-7107
33 epaulos@levinlaw.com

34 ROLAND TELLIS
35 DAVID FERNANDES
36 **BARON & BUDD, P.C.**
37 15910 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1600
38 Encino, CA 91436
39 Telephone: (818) 839-2333
40 Facsimile: (818) 986-9698
41 rtellis@baronbudd.com
42 dfernandes@baronbudd.com

ALEXANDRA WALSH
WALSH LAW
1050 Connecticut Ave, NW, Suite 500
Washington D.C. 20036
T: 202-780-3014
awalsh@alexwalshlaw.com

MICHAEL M. WEINKOWITZ
LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, LLP
510 WALNUT STREET
SUITE 500
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106
Telephone: 215-592-1500
mweinkowitz@lfsbalw.com

DIANDRA "FU" DEBROSSE ZIMMERMANN
DICELLO LEVITT
505 20th St North
Suite 1500
Birmingham, Alabama 35203
Telephone: 205.855.5700
fu@dicellolevitt.com

HILLARY NAPPI
HACH & ROSE LLP
112 Madison Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, New York 10016
Tel: 212.213.8311
hnappi@hrsclaw.com

JAMES MARSH
MARSH LAW FIRM PLLC
31 HUDSON YARDS, 11TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10001-2170
Telephone: 212-372-3030
jamesmarsh@marshlaw.com

Attorneys for Individual Plaintiffs

PHILIP J. WEISER

Attorney General
State of Colorado

/s/ Bianca E. Miyata

Bianca E. Miyata, CO Reg. No. 42012,
pro hac vice
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Lauren M. Dickey, CO Reg. No. 45773
First Assistant Attorney General
Megan Paris Rundlet, CO Reg. No. 27474
Senior Assistant Solicitor General
Elizabeth Orem, CO Reg. No. 58309
Assistant Attorney General
Colorado Department of Law
Ralph L. Carr Judicial Center
Consumer Protection Section
1300 Broadway, 7th Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Phone: (720) 508-6651
bianca.miyata@coag.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Colorado, ex rel.
Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General*

ROB BONTA

Attorney General
State of California

/s/ Megan O'Neill

Nick A. Akers (CA SBN 211222)
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Bernard Eskandari (SBN 244395)
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
Megan O'Neill (CA SBN 343535)
Joshua Olszewski-Jubelirer
(CA SBN 336428)
Marissa Roy (CA SBN 318773)
Nayha Ahora (CA SBN 250467)
Deputy Attorneys General
California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000
San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
Phone: (415) 510-4400
Fax: (415) 703-5480
Bernard.Eskandari@doj.ca.gov

*Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of
California*

RUSSELL CAMERON
Attorney General
Commonwealth of Kentucky

/s/ J. Christian Lewis
J. Christian Lewis (KY Bar No. 87109),
Pro hac vice
Philip Heleringer (KY Bar No. 96748),
Pro hac vice
Zachary Richards (KY Bar No. 99209),
Pro hac vice
Daniel I. Keiser (KY Bar No. 100264)
Pro hac vice
Assistant Attorneys General
1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200
Frankfort, KY 40601
CHRISTIAN.LEWIS@KY.GOV
PHILIP.HELERINGER@KY.GOV
ZACH.RICHARDS@KY.GOV
DANIEL.KEISER@KY.GOV
Phone: (502) 696-5300
Fax: (502) 564-2698

*Attorneys for Plaintiff the Commonwealth of
Kentucky*

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

By: /s/ Ashley M. Simonsen
Ashley M. Simonsen, SBN 275203
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (424) 332-4800
Facsimile: + 1 (424) 332-4749
Email: asimonsen@cov.com

Phyllis A. Jones, *pro hac vice*
Paul W. Schmidt, *pro hac vice*
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One City Center
850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956
Telephone: + 1 (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: + 1 (202) 662-6291
Email: pajones@cov.com

*Attorney for Defendants Meta Platforms, Inc.
f/k/a Facebook, Inc.; Facebook Holdings,
LLC; Facebook Operations, LLC; Facebook
Payments, Inc.; Facebook Technologies, LLC;
Instagram, LLC; Siculus, Inc.; and Mark Elliot
Zuckerberg*

FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
By: /s/ Andrea Roberts Pierson
Andrea Roberts Pierson, *pro hac vice*
FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Telephone: + 1 (317) 237-0300
Facsimile: + 1 (317) 237-1000
Email: andrea.pierson@faegredrinker.com

Amy R. Fiterman, *pro hac vice*
FAEGRE DRINKER LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis MN 55402
Telephone: +1 (612) 766 7768
Facsimile: + 1 (612) 766 1600
Email: amy.fiterman@faegredrinker.com

Geoffrey M. Drake, *pro hac vice*

1 KING & SPALDING LLP
2 1180 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 1600
3 Atlanta, GA 30309
4 Telephone: +1 (404) 572 4726
5 Email: gdrake@kslaw.com

6 David P. Mattern, pro hac vice
7 KING & SPALDING LLP
8 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 900
9 Washington, D.C. 20006
10 Telephone: +1 (202) 626 2946
11 Email: dmattern@kslaw.com

12 *Attorneys for Defendants TikTok Inc., ByteDance*
13 *Inc., TikTok Ltd., ByteDance Ltd. and TikTok*
14 *LLC.*

15 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSEN LLP
16 By: /s/ Jonathan H. Blavin
17 Jonathan H. Blavin, SBN 230269
18 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
19 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
20 San Francisco, CA 94105-3089
21 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
22 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077
23 Email: jonathan.blavin@mto.com

24 Rose L. Ehler, SBN 2965)
25 Victoria A. Degtyareva, SBN 284199
26 Laura M. Lopez, SBN 313450
27 Rowley J. Rice, SBN 313737
28 Ariel T. Teshuva, SBN 324238
Faye Paul Teller, SBN 343506
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426
Telephone: (213) 683-9100
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
Email: rose.ehler@mto.com
Email: victoria.degtyareva@mto.com
Email: laura.lopez@mto.com
Email: rowley.rice@mto.com
Email: ariel.teshuva@mto.com
Email: faye.teller@mto.com

Lauren A. Bell (*pro hac vice*)
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

601 Massachusetts Ave., NW St.,
Suite 500 E
Washington, D.C. 20001-5369
Telephone: (202) 220-1100
Facsimile: (202) 220-2300
Email: lauren.bell@mto.com

Attorneys for Defendant Snap Inc.

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
By: /s/ Brian M. Willen
Brian M. Willen (*pro hac vice*)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 999-5800
Facsimile: (212) 999-5899
Email: bwillen@wsgr.com

Lauren Gallo White
Samantha A. Machock
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, Suite 3300
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 947-2000
Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
Email: lwhite@wsgr.com
Email: smachock@wsgr.com

Christopher Chiou
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
953 East Third Street, Suite 100
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (323) 210-2900
Facsimile: (866) 974-7329
Email: cchiou@wsgr.com

*Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
LLC, and Alphabet Inc.*

WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
By: /s/ Joseph G. Petrosinelli
Joseph G. Petrosinelli (*pro hac vice*)
jpetrosinelli@wc.com
Ashley W. Hardin (*pro hac vice*)
ahardin@wc.com
680 Maine Avenue, SW

Washington, DC 20024
Telephone.: 202-434-5000
Fax: 202-434-5029

*Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC, Google
LLC, and Alphabet Inc.*

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
By: /s/ Stephanie Schuster
Stephanie Schuster (*pro hac vice*)
stephanie.schuster@morganlewis.com
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
NW Washington, DC 20004-2541
Tel.: 202.373.6595


Yardena R. Zwang-Weissman (SBN 247111)
yardena.zwang-weissman@morganlewis.com
300 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
Tel.: 213.612.7238

Brian Ercole (*pro hac vice*)
brian.ercole@morganlewis.com
600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1600
Miami, FL 33131-3075
Tel.: 305.415.3416

*Attorneys for Defendants YouTube, LLC and
Google LLC*

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,
IT IS SO ORDERED that the foregoing Agreement is approved.

DATED: April 2, 2024



PETER H. KANG
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Appendix A

The Parties agree the below litigations are within the scope of Related Litigation*:

Meta

- *State of Arkansas, ex rel. Tim Griffin, Attorney General v. Meta Platforms, Inc.;*
Facebook Holdings, LLC; Facebook Operations, LLC; Meta Payments Inc.; Facebook
Technologies, LLC; Instagram, LLC; and Siculus, Inc., Case No. 57CV-23-47 (Arkansas
Circuit Court, Polk County).
- *District of Columbia v. Meta Platforms, Inc. and Instagram, LLC,* Case No. 2023 CAB
006550 (D.C. Superior Court).
- *Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Meta Platforms, Inc. and Instagram, LLC,* Case No.
2384CV02397 (Massachusetts Superior Court, Suffolk County).
- *State of Mississippi, ex rel. Lynn Fitch, Attorney General v. Meta Platforms, Inc.;*
Instagram, LLC; Meta Payments, Inc., and Meta Platforms Technologies, LLC, Case No.
25CH1:23-cv-01205 (Mississippi Chancery Court, First Judicial District).
- *State of New Hampshire v. Meta Platforms, Inc., and Instagram, LLC,* Case No. 217-
2023-CV-00594 (New Hampshire Superior Court, Merrimack, SS).
- *State of Oklahoma, ex rel. Gentner Drummond, Attorney General of Oklahoma v. Meta*
Platforms, Inc., and Instagram, LLC, Case No. CJ-2023-00180 (Oklahoma District
Court, Osage County).
- *State of Tennessee, ex rel. Jonathan Skrmetti, Attorney General and Reporter v. Meta*
Platforms, Inc., and Instagram, LLC, Case No. 23-1364-IV (Tennessee Chancery Court,
Davidson County).
- *Utah Division of Consumer Protection v. Meta Platforms, Inc., and Instagram, LLC,*
Case No. 230908060 (Utah Third District Court, Salt Lake County).
- *State of Vermont v. Meta Platforms, Inc., and Instagram, LLC,* Case No. 23-CV-04453
(Vermont Superior Court, Chittenden County).
- *State of New Mexico, ex rel. Raul Torrez, Attorney General v. Meta Platforms, Inc.;*
Instagram, LLC; Meta Payments Inc.; Meta Platforms Technologies, Inc; and Mark

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

- 18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TikTok

- *Nasca, et al. v. ByteDance Ltd., et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-02061 (E.D.N.Y.)
- *Anderson, et al. v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. 2:22-cv-01849-PD (E.D. Pa.), *appeal docketed*, No. 22-3061 (3d Cir.)
- *Bogard, et al. v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. 3:23-cv-00012 (S.D. Ind.)
- *Nazario, et al. v. ByteDance Ltd., et al.*, No. 151540/2024 (New York Supreme Court, New York County)
- *Nevada v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. A-24-886127-B (Nevada District Court, Clark County)
- *Utah v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. 230907634 (Utah Third District Court, Salt Lake County)
- *Arkansas v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. 12CV-23-65 (Arkansas Circuit Court, Cleburne County)
- *Iowa v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. 24-1522 (Iowa District Court, Polk County)
- *Kansas v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, (Kansas District Court, Shawnee County)
- *Indiana v. TikTok Inc., et al.*, No. 23A-PL-03110 (Ind. Ct. App.)

YouTube

- *Cody Dahl et al. v. Alphabet, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:23-cv-01496 (W.D. Tex.) (removed December 11, 2023)

* Upon agreement between the Plaintiffs and Defendants, this list may be updated without leave of Court and by serving it on all Parties.

Appendix B

The Parties list below the agreed-upon topics for the topic field for the privilege log option in Section III.E. A Party is only required to choose one topic for each withheld document, but may choose multiple.

1. acquisitions, sales, or divestitures
2. advertising and marketing
3. board of directors meeting agendas, presentations, and/or draft meeting minutes
4. compliance with foreign laws and regulations
5. compliance with U.S. laws and regulations
6. contract drafting or evaluation
7. drafting of, implementation of, or compliance with company policies or procedures
8. foreign government hearings, investigations, or inquiries
9. U.S. government hearings, investigations, or inquiries
10. human resources/employee issues
11. identity and age verification issues
12. intellectual property and patent issues
13. legal hold
14. the current litigation (MDL 3047), JCCP 5225, and Related Litigation
15. litigation other than the MDL 3047, JCCP 5225, and Related Litigation
16. periodic regulatory filings
17. product features
18. review of proposed communications re public and media appearances, press releases, or other published statements
19. user safety
20. [a logging party may add additional categories as appropriate during review, which will be disclosed to the Requesting Party.]

ATTESTATION

I, Ashley M. Simonsen, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 5-1, that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

Dated: April 1, 2024

/s/ Ashley M. Simonsen

Ashley M. Simonsen